

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/637,635 08/11/2003 Theodorus Hubertus Josephus Bisschops 081468-0305246 3358 **EXAMINER** 909 7590 09/14/2004 PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP FULLER, RODNEY EVAN P.O. BOX 10500 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MCLEAN, VA 22102 2851

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/637,635	BISSCHOPS, THEODORUS HUBERTUS JOSEPHUS	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Rodney E Fuller	2851	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
THE - External after - If the If No	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period oure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	<u>ugust 2003</u> .		
2a)□				
3)□) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
· ·	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 August 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
,—				
11)[11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/919,616</u> .			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Rodney Fuller				
			Primary Examiner	
			0 1/1/	
Attachmer		,,□	K.5 /	
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	ratent Application (PTO-152)	
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/11/04</u> .	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/637,635 Page 2

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,630,984 (Bisschops).

 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following:
- 3. Independent claims 1 and 20 of the present invention correspond to claims 1 and 18, respectively, of U.S. Patent No. 6,630,984 with the removal of the claim limitation related to "a vacuum chamber." Claim 1 is fully met by claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,630,984. Claim 18 is fully met by claim 20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,630,984.
- 4. Claims 2-4, 5, 6-13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19-21 of the present invention correspond to claims 2-4, 1, 5-12, 12, 15, 16, 1, 14 and 17-19, respectively, of U.S. Patent No 6,630,984.

Application/Control Number: 10/637,635 Page 3

Art Unit: 2851

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney E Fuller whose telephone number is 571-272-2118. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney E Fuller
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2851

September 7, 2004